



**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**  
**Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------

08/477,855 06/07/95 TAHARA

K 450100-2952.

DIN, L EXAMINER

26M2/0821

WILLIAM S FROMMER  
CURTIS MORRIS & SAFFORD  
530 FIFTH AVE  
NEW YORK NY 10036

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2615

DATE MAILED:

08/21/96

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

# Office Action Summary

Application No.  
**08/477,855**

Applicant(s)  
**Tahara et al.**

Examiner  
**Luanne Din**

Group Art Unit  
**2615**



☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Feb 26, 1996

☐ This action is **FINAL**.

☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire three month(s), or thirty days, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a).

## Disposition of Claims

☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.

Of the above, claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.

☒ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected.

☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.

☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

## Application Papers

☒ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.

☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved.

☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

☒ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).

☒ All ☐ Some\* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been

☒ received.

☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) \_\_\_\_\_

☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\*Certified copies not received: \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).

## Attachment(s)

☒ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_

☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

--- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

Art Unit: 2615

**Part III DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-23 are rejected as being non-statutory under 35 U.S.C. 101 because they seek undue extension of monopoly timewise of patent rights of applicant's United States patent No. 5,473,380. See In re Vogel and Vogel, 164 USPQ 619, 622; In re Schneller, 158 USPQ 210, 214. A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.321(b) would overcome an actual or provisional rejection on this ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.78(d).

For example, claims 1-3 are claiming the same apparatus as U.S. Patent No. 5,473,380 claims 1-4. Although the wording may be different from claim to claim. However, over all, the claimed invention is the same as patented invention. Claims 1-3 specify an apparatus for processing a digital signal including an identification area. U.S. Patent No. 5,473,380 claims 1-4 also specify an apparatus for processing a digital picture signal (claims 1 and 4) and means for receiving picture type signal included in the picture signal (claim 1). The picture type data is considered the identification data of a digital picture signal which is included in the digital picture signal.

2. The non-statutory double patenting rejection, whether of the obviousness-type or non-obviousness-type, is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy

Art Unit: 2615

reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent. *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); and *In re Goodman*, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and (c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a non-statutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78(d).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-23 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-20 of U.S. Patent No. 5,437,380 in view of Kato (5,543,847).

Claims 1-5 and 11-15 of U.S. Patent No. 5,473,380 discloses substantially the same method and apparatus for processing a digital picture signal comprising a means for receiving picture type signal, coding means for encoding the picture signal including the motion vector detection means, means for separating

Art Unit: 2615

and encoding according to picture type of the picture signal as specified in claims 1-3, 5-7, 13-15, and 17-19. Claims 6-10 and 16-20 of U.S. Patent No. 5,473,380 discloses substantially the same apparatus and method for processing an encoded digital signal comprising means for decoding encoded digital signal includes variable length decoding means and means for including picture type data as specified in claims 8, 10-12, 20, 22, and 23. Although U.S. Patent No. 5,473,380 does not particularly disclose the picture type data identifies an encoding structure of a group of picture as specified in claims 4, 9, 16, and 21.

Kato discloses substantially the same picture coding and decoding apparatus and method (Fig. 4 and 5, col. 3, line 63 to col. 4, line 39) wherein the picture type data identifies an encoding structure of a group of pictures represented by the digital picture signal and further identifies each respective picture within said group of pictures.

Therefore, it is considered obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teaching of using the picture type data identifies an encoding structure of a group of pictures represented by the digital picture signal and further identifies each respective picture within said group of pictures as shown by Kato, one can add the signal processing method of Kato to the signal processing of U.S. Patent No. 5,473,380 so that the picture type data can be identified as an encoding structure of a

Art Unit: 2615

group of pictures represented by the digital picture signal and further identifies each respective picture within said group of pictures.

*Conclusion*

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Chang (5,532,746) discloses a bit allocation method for controlling transmission rate of video recorder.

Ng et al. (5,212,549) discloses an error concealment apparatus for a compressed video signal processing system.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Luanne Din whose telephone number is (703) 306-2743.

  
THOMAS P. CHIN  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
GROUP 2600

LPD  
August 19, 1996